arXiv:1512.08207v2 [math.SP] 26 Nov 2016

MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON PERIODIC DISCRETE GRAPHS

EVGENY KOROTYAEV AND NATALIA SABUROVA

Abstract. We consider magnetic Schrödinger operators with periodic magnetic and electric potentials on periodic discrete graphs. The spectrum of the operators consists of an absolutely continuous part (a union of a finite number of non-degenerate bands) plus a finite number of flat bands, i.e., eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity. We estimate the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum in terms of the Betti numbers and show that these estimates become identities for specific graphs. We estimate a variation of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operators under a perturbation by a magnetic field in terms of magnetic fluxes. The proof is based on Floquet theory and a precise representation of fiber magnetic Schrödinger operators constructed in the paper.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Main results 7
3. Direct integrals for magnetic Schrödinger operators 12
4. Proof of the main results 16
5. Properties of fiber operators and an example 19
6. Generalized magnetic Schrödinger operators 22
7. Acknowledgments 27
8. References 27

1. Introduction

1.1. **Introduction.** We discuss spectral properties of Schrödinger operators with periodic magnetic and electric potentials on $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic discrete graphs, $d \geqslant 2$, and in particular, magnetic Laplacians. The spectrum of these operators consists of an absolutely continuous part (a union of a finite number of non-degenerate bands) plus a finite number of flat bands, i.e., eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity. There are a lot of results about such problems, see e.g., [H55], [Ho76], [HS89], [HS01], [LL93] and the references therein.

A discrete analogue of the magnetic Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^2$ was originally introduced by Harper [H55]. This discrete magnetic Laplacian Δ_α acts on functions $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2),\ n = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and is given by:

$$(\Delta_\alpha f)(n) = 4f(n) - e^{-iB\frac{n_2}{2}} f(n+e_1) - e^{iB\frac{n_2}{2}} f(n-e_1) - e^{-iB\frac{n_1}{2}} f(n+e_2) - e^{iB\frac{n_1}{2}} f(n-e_2), \quad (1.1)$$

where $e_1 = (1,0), e_2 = (0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The operator Δ_α describes the behavior of an electron moving on the square lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$ exposed to a uniform magnetic field in the so-called tight-binding model [Az64]. The magnetic field $\mathcal{B} = B(0,0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with amplitude $B \in \mathbb{R}$ is

Date: November 29, 2016.
Key words and phrases. spectral bands, flat bands, discrete magnetic Schrödinger operator, periodic graph.

perpendicular to the lattice. The corresponding vector potential α of the uniform magnetic field $\mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$\alpha(\mathbf{e}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Bn_2}{2}\,, & \text{if } \mathbf{e} = (n, n+e_1) \\ -\frac{Bn_1}{2}\,, & \text{if } \mathbf{e} = (n, n+e_2) \end{cases}. \tag{1.2}$$

The value B is the magnetic flux through the unit cell of the lattice for the magnetic field $\mathcal{B}$. Note that the discrete magnetic Laplacian Δ_α is reduced to the Harper operator in the discrete Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Seemingly it is a very simple operator but, compared with the magnetic Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^2$, its spectrum is very sensitive to the parameter B (see [AJ09], [BS82], [CEY90], [Ho76] and the references therein):

1) if $\frac{B}{2\pi}$ is a rational number, then the spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_\alpha)$ of the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α has a *band structure*, i.e., $\sigma(\Delta_\alpha)$ consists of a finite number of closed intervals;

2) if $\frac{B}{2\pi}$ is an irrational number, then $\sigma(\Delta_\alpha)$ is a *Cantor set* and the graphical presentation of the dependence of the spectrum on B shows a fractal behavior known as the Hofstadter butterfly.

In a series of papers [HS88], [HS89], [HS90] Helffer and Sjöstrand obtained important results in the mathematical analysis of the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α. An algebraic approach to the operator Δ_α was put forward by Bellissard (for more details see [Be92], [Be94]). Note that there are results about the Hofstadter-type spectrum of the magnetic Laplacians on other planar graphs (the hexagonal lattice and so on) (see [Hou09], [Ke92], [KeR14] and the references therein).

Discrete magnetic Laplacians on graphs were introduced by Lieb-Loss [LL93] and Sunada [S94]. Lieb and Loss [LL93] characterized the bottom of the spectrum of the discrete magnetic Laplacian for a bipartite planar graph. Sunada [S94] considered a discrete magnetic Laplacian with a weak invariance under a group action on periodic graphs and gave some criteria under which the spectrum of the operator has a band structure. After that, discrete magnetic Schrödinger operators on finite and infinite graphs have been investigated by many authors. For example, discrete magnetic Schrödinger operators on periodic graphs were also considered in [HS99a], [HS99b]. Higuchi and Shirai [HS99a] obtained the relationship between the spectrum of the discrete magnetic Schrödinger operator on a periodic graph and that on the corresponding fundamental graph. Also they proved the analyticity of the bottom of the spectrum with respect to the magnetic flow and computed the second derivative of the bottom of the spectrum and represented it in terms of geometry of the graph. Higuchi and Shirai [HS99b] gave a condition under which the weak Bloch property for the magnetic Laplacian holds true, that is, the set of ℓ^∞-eigenvalues is contained in the set of ℓ^2-spectrum. Also they investigated spectral properties for some specific $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic graphs Γ when $d = \#\mathcal{E}_* - \#V_* + 1$, where $\#\mathcal{E}_*$ and $\#V_*$ are the numbers of edges and vertices of a fundamental graph of Γ, respectively (see definitions in subsection 1.2).

Higuchi and Shirai [HS01] studied the behaviour of the bottom of the spectrum as a function of the magnetic flux. Colin de Verdière, Torki-Hamza and Truc [CTT11] obtained a condition under which the magnetic Laplacian on an infinite graph is essentially self-adjoint.

In our paper we consider the magnetic Laplacians and Schrödinger operators with periodic magnetic and electric potentials on periodic graphs. The periodicity of magnetic vector potentials guarantees a band structure of the spectrum and the absence of Cantor spectrum. Note that in the rational case $\frac{B}{2\pi} = \frac{p}{q}$, where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime, the vector potential α defined by (1.2) can be considered as a periodic one with the periods $2qe_1$, $2qe_2$.

We describe now our main goals:

1) to estimate the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum and the gaps of the magnetic Schrödinger operators in terms of Betti numbers defined by (1.15) and electric potentials (see Theorem 2.3).

2) to estimate a variation of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operators under a perturbation by a magnetic field in terms of magnetic fluxes (see Theorem 2.4).

3) to estimate effective masses associated with the ends of each spectral band for magnetic Laplacians in terms of geometric parameters of the graphs (see Theorem 2.5).

We note that for non-magnetic operators similar estimates were obtained for the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum in [KS14] and for effective masses in [KS16].

The proof of our results is based on Floquet theory and a precise representation of fiber magnetic Schrödinger operators constructed in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2. This representation of the fiber operators is also the original part of the work. In the proof we use variational estimates for the fiber operators.

1.2. **The definition of magnetic Schrödinger operators on periodic graphs.** Let $\Gamma = (V, \mathcal{E})$ be a connected infinite graph, possibly having loops and multiple edges, where V is the set of its vertices and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of its unoriented edges. Considering each edge in $\mathcal{E}$ to have two orientations, we introduce the set $\mathcal{A}$ of all oriented edges. An edge starting at a vertex u and ending at a vertex v from V will be denoted as the ordered pair $(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ and is said to be *incident* to the vertices. Vertices $u, v \in V$ will be called *adjacent* and denoted by $u \sim v$, if $(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$. We define the degree $\varkappa_v$ of the vertex $v \in V$ as the number of all edges from $\mathcal{A}$, starting at v. A sequence of directed edges $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$ from $\mathcal{A}$ is called *a cycle* if the terminus of the edge $\mathbf{e}_s$ coincides with the origin of the edge $\mathbf{e}_{s+1}$ for all $s = 1, \dots, n$ ($\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ is understood as $\mathbf{e}_1$).

Below we consider locally finite $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic graphs Γ, $d \geqslant 2$, i.e., graphs satisfying the following conditions:

1) Γ is equipped with an action of the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^d$;

2) the degree of each vertex is finite;

3) the quotient graph $\Gamma_* = \Gamma/\mathbb{Z}^d$ is finite.

We also call the quotient graph $\Gamma_* = \Gamma/\mathbb{Z}^d$ *the fundamental graph* of the periodic graph Γ. If Γ is embedded into the space $\mathbb{R}^d$, the fundamental graph Γ_* is a graph on the surface $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$. The fundamental graph $\Gamma_* = (V_*, \mathcal{E}_*)$ has the vertex set $V_* = V/\mathbb{Z}^d$, the set $\mathcal{E}_* = \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Z}^d$ of unoriented edges and the set $\mathcal{A}_* = \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{Z}^d$ of oriented edges.

Remark. We do not assume the graph to be embedded into a Euclidean space. But in many applications there exists such a natural embedding. The tight-binding approximation is commonly used to describe the electronic properties of real crystalline structures (see, e.g., [A76]). This is equivalent to modeling the material as a discrete graph consisting of vertices (points representing positions of atoms) and edges (representing chemical bonding of atoms), by ignoring the physical characters of atoms and bonds that may be different from one another, see [S13]. The model gives good qualitative results in many cases. In this case a simple geometric model is a graph Γ embedded into $\mathbb{R}^d$ in such a way that it is invariant with respect to the shifts by integer vectors $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, which produce an action of $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Let $\ell^2(V)$ be the Hilbert space of all square summable functions $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|^2_{\ell^2(V)} = \sum_{v\in V} |f(v)|^2 < \infty.$$

Let $\underline{\mathbf{e}} = (v, u)$ be the inverse edge of $\mathbf{e} = (u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$. We define the space $\mathscr{F}_1$ of all periodic 1-forms on a periodic graph Γ by

$$\mathscr{F}_1 = \{\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R} \mid \alpha(\underline{\mathbf{e}}) = -\alpha(\mathbf{e}), \quad \alpha(\mathbf{e}+m) = \alpha(\mathbf{e}) \quad \text{for all } (\mathbf{e}, m) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}^d\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathbf{e}+m$ denotes the action of $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ on $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}$. In physics a 1-form α is called *a magnetic vector potential on* Γ. The number $\alpha(\mathbf{e})$, $\mathbf{e} = (u, v)$, is the integral of the magnetic vector potential from the point u to the point v.

For each 1-form $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ we define *the discrete combinatorial magnetic Laplacian* Δ_α on $f \in \ell^2(V)$ by

$$\big(\Delta_\alpha f\big)(v) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} \big(f(v) - e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})} f(u)\big), \qquad v \in V. \tag{1.4}$$

The sum in (1.4) is taken over all oriented edges starting at the vertex v.

- If $\alpha = 0$, then Δ_0 is just the standard discrete combinatorial Laplacian Δ:

$$\big(\Delta f\big)(v) = \sum_{(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} \big(f(v) - f(u)\big), \qquad v \in V. \tag{1.5}$$

- If the graph $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and the vector potential α of the uniform magnetic field $\mathcal{B}$ is given by (1.2), where the number $\frac{B}{2\pi}$ is rational, then Δ_α in (1.4) is the operator defined by (1.1).

It is well known (see [HS99a], [HS99b], [HS01]) that *the magnetic Laplacian* Δ_α *is a bounded self-adjoint operator on* $\ell^2(V)$ *and its spectrum* $\sigma(\Delta_\alpha)$ *is a closed subset in* $[0, 2\varkappa_+]$, *i.e.:*

$$\begin{gathered} \sigma(\Delta_\alpha) \subset [0, 2\varkappa_+], \\ \text{where } \varkappa_+ = \sup_{v\in V} \varkappa_v < \infty. \end{gathered} \tag{1.6}$$

We consider *the magnetic Schrödinger operator* H_α acting on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(V)$ and given by

$$H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\big(Qf\big)(v) = Q(v)f(v), \qquad \forall v \in V. \tag{1.8}$$

Here and below we assume that the potential Q is real valued and satisfies

$$Q(v+m) = Q(v), \qquad \forall\, (v, m) \in V \times \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

$v + m$ denotes the action of $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ on $v \in V$.

1.3. **Edge indices.** In order to formulate our results we need to define an *edge index*, which was introduced in [KS14]. The indices are important to study the spectrum of the Laplacians and Schrödinger operators on periodic graphs, since fiber operators are expressed in terms of edge indices of the fundamental graph (see (2.4)).

Let $\nu = \#V_*$, where $\#A$ is the number of elements of the set A. We fix any ν vertices of the periodic graph Γ, which are not $\mathbb{Z}^d$-equivalent to each other and denote this vertex set by V_0. We will call V_0 a *fundamental vertex set of* Γ. The set V_0 is not unique and we may

choose this set in different ways. But it is natural to choose the fundamental vertex set V_0 in the following way. Let $T = (V_T, \mathcal{E}_T)$ be a subgraph of the periodic graph Γ satisfying the following conditions:

1) *T is a tree, i.e., a connected graph without cycles;*

2) *V_T consists of ν vertices of Γ, which are not $\mathbb{Z}^d$-equivalent to each other.*

From now on we assume that the fundamental vertex set V_0 coincides with the vertex set V_T.

Remark. Note that such a graph T always exists, since the periodic graph is connected, and T is not unique.

For any vertex $v \in V$ the following unique representation holds true:

$$v = v_0 + [v], \qquad v_0 \in V_0, \qquad [v] \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{1.9}$$

In other words, each vertex v can be obtained from a vertex $v_0 \in V_0$ by the shift by a vector $[v] \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We will call $[v]$ the *coordinates of the vertex v with respect to the fundamental vertex set V_0*. For any oriented edge $\mathbf{e} = (u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ we define the **edge "index"** $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ as the integer vector given by

$$\tau(\mathbf{e}) = [v] - [u] \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{1.10}$$

where, due to (1.9), we have

$$u = u_0 + [u], \qquad v = v_0 + [v], \qquad u_0, v_0 \in V_0, \qquad [u], [v] \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

In general, edge indices depend on the choice of the set V_0.

For example, for the graph Γ shown in Fig.1 the index of the edge $(v_1, v_3 + a_2)$ is equal to $(0, 1)$ and the edge (v_1, v_4) has zero index.



FIGURE 1. A graph Γ with the fundamental vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$; only edges of the fundamental graph Γ_* are shown; the vectors a_1, a_2 produce an action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$; the edges of the tree T are marked by bold.

We define two surjections

$$\mathfrak{f}_V : V \to V_* = V/\mathbb{Z}^d, \qquad \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}_* = \mathcal{A}/\mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{1.11}$$

which map each element to its equivalence class. If $\mathbf{e}$ is an oriented edge of the graph Γ, then, by the definition of the fundamental graph, there is an oriented edge $\mathbf{e}_* = \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e})$ on Γ_*. For each edge $\mathbf{e}_* \in \mathcal{A}_*$ we define the edge index $\tau(\mathbf{e}_*)$ by

$$\tau(\mathbf{e}_*) = \tau(\mathbf{e}). \tag{1.12}$$

In other words, edge indices of the fundamental graph Γ_* are induced by edge indices of the periodic graph Γ. An index of a fundamental graph edge with respect to the fixed fundamental vertex set V_0 is uniquely determined by (1.12), since

$$\tau(\mathbf{e}+m)=\tau(\mathbf{e}), \qquad \forall\,(\mathbf{e},m)\in\mathcal{A}\times\mathbb{Z}^d.$$

From the definitions (1.10), (1.12) of the edge index we have

$$\tau(\underline{\mathbf{e}})=-\tau(\mathbf{e}) \quad \text{ for each } \mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{A} \text{ and for each } \mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{A}_*; \tag{1.13}$$

and **all edges of the tree T have zero indices**, i.e.,

$$\tau(\mathbf{e})=0, \qquad \forall\ \mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{E}_T. \tag{1.14}$$

1.4. **Betti number, spanning trees and magnetic fluxes.** We recall the definitions of the Betti number and spanning trees, which will be used in the formulation of our results.

• The *Betti number* β of a finite connected graph $\Gamma_*=(V_*,\mathcal{E}_*)$ is defined as

$$\beta=\#\mathcal{E}_*-\#V_*+1. \tag{1.15}$$

Note that the Betti number β can also be defined in one of the following ways:

i) as the number of edges that have to be removed from $\mathcal{E}_*$ (without reducing the number of vertices) to turn Γ_* into a tree;

ii) as the dimension of the cycle space of the graph Γ_*

(see properties of spanning trees below).

• A *spanning tree* $T_*=(V_*,\mathcal{E}_{T_*})$ of a finite connected graph $\Gamma_*=(V_*,\mathcal{E}_*)$ is a connected subgraph of Γ_* which has no cycles and contains all vertices of Γ_*.

We introduce the set $\mathcal{S}$ of all edges from $\mathcal{E}_*$ that do not belong to the spanning tree T_* and equip each edge of $\mathcal{S}$ with some orientation. We denote by $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ the set of their inverse edges, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{E}_*\setminus\mathcal{E}_{T_*}, \qquad \underline{\mathcal{S}}=\{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{A}_*\mid \underline{\mathbf{e}}\in\mathcal{S}\}. \tag{1.16}$$

We recall some *properties of spanning trees* of connected graphs (see, e.g., Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 in [B74]):

1) The set $\mathcal{S}$ contains β edges, where β is the Betti number defined by (1.15).

2) For any edge $\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{S}$ there exists a unique cycle $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{e}$ containing only $\mathbf{e}$ and edges of T_*.

3) The set of all such cycles $(\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{e})_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{S}}$ forms a basis of the cycle space and the number of independent cycles of the fundamental graph Γ_* is β.

Remark. The definitions of the Betti number and spanning trees and their properties hold true for any finite connected graph $\Gamma_*=(V_*,\mathcal{E}_*)$, which is not necessarily a fundamental graph of some periodic one.

For a given magnetic Laplacian Δ_α the magnetic vector potential α is defined up to a gauge transformation. Therefore, we define a *magnetic flux*, which is invariant under the gauge transformation.

We recall that $T=(V_T,\mathcal{E}_T)$ is a connected subgraph of the periodic graph Γ with no cycles and with ν vertices which are not $\mathbb{Z}^d$-equivalent to each other, where ν is the number of the fundamental graph vertices. Then the graph $T_*=T/\mathbb{Z}^d$ is a spanning tree of the fundamental

graph $\Gamma_* = \Gamma/\mathbb{Z}^d$. Due to the property 2) of spanning trees, for each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is defined in (1.16), there exists a unique cycle $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{e}$ containing only $\mathbf{e}$ and edges of T_*. For the cycle $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{e}$ we define the *magnetic flux* of α by

$$\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e}) \equiv \phi_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{e}) = \Big(\sum_{\widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbf{e}} \alpha(\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}) \Big) \bmod 2\pi, \qquad \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e}) \in (-\pi, \pi]. \tag{1.17}$$

Example. For the graph Γ_* shown in Fig.2a we can choose the spanning trees T_* and $\widetilde{T}_*$ (Fig.2 b,c). The set $\mathcal{S}$ consists of three edges $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$ (they are shown in Fig.2 b,c by the dotted lines) and depends on the choice of the spanning tree. The Betti number β defined by (1.15) is equal to 3 and does not depend on the set $\mathcal{S}$.



FIGURE 2. a) A fundamental graph Γ_*; b),c) the spanning trees T_* and $\widetilde{T}_*$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$, $\beta = 3$.

2. Main results

2.1. **Floquet decomposition of Schrödinger operators.** We introduce the Hilbert space

$$\mathscr{H} = L^2\Big(\mathbb{T}^d, \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d}, \mathcal{H}\Big) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H} \, \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \ell^2(V_*), \quad \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d, \tag{2.1}$$

i.e., a constant fiber direct integral equipped with the norm

$$\|g\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \|g(\vartheta, \cdot)\|^2_{\ell^2(V_*)} \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d},$$

where the function $g(\vartheta, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$ for almost all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$.

Theorem 2.1 (**Magnetic fluxes representation**). *For each 1-form $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ on $\ell^2(V)$ has the following decomposition into a constant fiber direct integral*

$$\ell^2(V) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \ell^2(V_*) \, d\vartheta, \qquad \mathscr{U} H_\alpha \mathscr{U}^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} H_\alpha(\vartheta) d\vartheta, \tag{2.2}$$

where the unitary operator $\mathscr{U} : \ell^2(V) \to \mathscr{H}$ is a composition of the Gelfand type transformation and a gauge transformation (see the precise formulas (3.2) and (3.8)). Here the fiber magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha(\vartheta)$ and the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ are given by

$$H_\alpha(\vartheta) = \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) + Q, \qquad \forall\, \vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\big(\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(v) = \varkappa_v f(v) - \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u) \in \mathcal{A}_*} e^{i(\alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta \rangle)} f(u), \qquad v \in V_*, \tag{2.4}$$

where the modified 1-form $\alpha_* \in \mathscr{F}_1$ is uniquely defined by

$$\alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) = \begin{cases} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e}), & \text{if } \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{S} \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathbf{e} \notin \mathcal{S} \cup \underline{\mathcal{S}} \end{cases}, \tag{2.5}$$

the magnetic flux $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})$ is given by (1.17); $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ is the index of the edge $\mathbf{e}$ defined by (1.10), (1.12); $\mathcal{S}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ are defined by (1.16), and $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Remarks. 1) The modified magnetic vector potential $\alpha_* \in \mathscr{F}_1$ on each edge $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{S}$ coincides with the flux $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})$ through the cycle $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{e}$.

2) Note that the decomposition of the discrete magnetic Schrödinger operators on periodic graphs into the constant fiber direct integral (2.2) (without an exact form of fiber operators) was discussed by Higuchi and Shirai [HS99a]. The precise form of the fiber Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ defined by (2.4) is important to study spectral properties of the magnetic Laplacians and Schrödinger operators acting on periodic graphs (see the proof of Theorems 2.3 – 2.5). The precise forms of the fiber Laplacian at $\alpha = 0$ and of fiber metric Laplacians on periodic graphs were determined in [KS14], [KS15].

3) From Theorem 2.1 it follows that two Schrödinger operators with the same potential and the same magnetic flux through every basic cycle are unitarily equivalent. This property for the magnetic Schrödinger operators on a locally finite graph was proved in [LL93], [CTT11], [HS01]. In particular, if the magnetic flux of α is zero for any cycle on Γ_*, then the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ is unitarily equivalent to the Schrödinger operator $H_0 = \Delta_0 + Q$ without a magnetic field.

4) The modified 1-form α_* given by (2.5) depends on the choice of the spanning tree T_*.

In (2.4), (2.5) the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ depends on β, generally speaking, non-zero independent magnetic fluxes $\big(\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})\big)_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{S}}$. Now we show that using a simple change of variables we can reduce the number of these independent parameters to $\beta - d$. In particular, if $\beta = d$, then the fiber Laplacian does not depend on the magnetic fluxes.

Corollary 2.2 (**Minimal magnetic fluxes representation**). *There exist $\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and edges $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d \in \mathcal{S}$ with linearly independent indices $\tau(\mathbf{e}_1), \dots, \tau(\mathbf{e}_d)$ defined by (1.10), (1.12) such that the fiber Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ given by (2.4) in the new variables $\widetilde{\vartheta} = \vartheta - \vartheta_0$ has the form*

$$\big(\Delta_\alpha(\widetilde{\vartheta} + \vartheta_0)f\big)(v) = \varkappa_v f(v) - \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} e^{i(\widetilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \widetilde{\vartheta}\rangle)} f(u), \qquad v \in V_*, \tag{2.6}$$

where the modified 1-form $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_1$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) = \begin{cases} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta_0\rangle, & \text{if } \mathbf{e} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}} \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathbf{e} \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{S}} \cup \underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}} \end{cases}, \tag{2.7}$$

the magnetic flux $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})$ is given by (1.17);

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S} \setminus \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\}, \qquad \underline{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}} = \{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_* \mid \underline{\mathbf{e}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}\}. \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, if the Betti number β defined by (1.15) is equal to d, then the magnetic Schrödinger operator H_α is unitarily equivalent to the Schrödinger operator H_0 without a magnetic field.

Remarks. 1) Higuchi and Shirai [HS99b] show that if $\beta = d$, then the magnetic Schrödinger operator H_α is unitarily equivalent to the Schrödinger operator H_0 without a magnetic field. Their proof is based on homology theory. Our proof is based on a simple change of variables.

2) The hexagonal lattice and the d-dimensional lattice with the minimal fundamental graphs are examples of periodic graphs with $\beta = d$. It is known that for any magnetic vector potential $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ the spectrum of the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α on these graphs is given by

$\sigma(\Delta_\alpha) = [0, 2\varkappa_+]$, where $\varkappa_+$ is the degree of each vertex of the graph, i.e., the spectrum does not depend on the magnetic potential α. If the fundamental graphs are not minimal, then $\beta > d$ and, in general, the spectrum of the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α depends on α.

2.2. **Spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator.** Theorem 2.1 and standard arguments (see Theorem XIII.85 in [RS78]) describe the spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$. Each fiber operator $H_\alpha(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, has ν eigenvalues $\lambda_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu = \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, $\nu = \#V_*$, which are labeled in increasing order (counting multiplicities) by

$$\lambda_{\alpha,1}(\vartheta) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,2}(\vartheta) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,\nu}(\vartheta), \qquad \forall\, \vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d. \tag{2.9}$$

Since $H_\alpha(\vartheta)$ is self-adjoint and analytic in $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, each $\lambda_{\alpha,n}(\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$, is a real and piecewise analytic function on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ and creates the *spectral band* $\sigma_n(H_\alpha)$ given by

$$\sigma_n(H_\alpha) := \sigma_{\alpha,n} = [\lambda^-_{\alpha,n}, \lambda^+_{\alpha,n}] = \lambda_{\alpha,n}(\mathbb{T}^d). \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, the spectrum of the operator H_α on the periodic graph Γ is given by

$$\sigma(H_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sigma\big(H_\alpha(\vartheta)\big) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\nu} \sigma_n(H_\alpha). \tag{2.11}$$

Note that if $\lambda_{\alpha,n}(\cdot) = C_{\alpha,n} = \text{const}$ on some subset of $\mathbb{T}^d$ of positive Lebesgue measure, then the operator H_α on Γ has the eigenvalue $C_{\alpha,n}$ of infinite multiplicity. We call $C_{\alpha,n}$ a *flat band*.

Thus, the spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator H_α on the periodic graph Γ has the form

$$\sigma(H_\alpha) = \sigma_{ac}(H_\alpha) \cup \sigma_{fb}(H_\alpha). \tag{2.12}$$

Here $\sigma_{ac}(H_\alpha)$ is the absolutely continuous spectrum, which is a union of non-degenerate intervals, and $\sigma_{fb}(H_\alpha)$ is the set of all flat bands (eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity). An open interval between two neighboring non-degenerate spectral bands is called a *spectral gap*.

The eigenvalues of the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ will be denoted by $\lambda^0_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$. The spectral bands $\sigma_n(\Delta_\alpha)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$, for the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α have the form

$$\sigma_n(\Delta_\alpha) = [\lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,n}, \lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,n}] = \lambda^0_{\alpha,n}(\mathbb{T}^d). \tag{2.13}$$

Remark. From (2.10) it follows that

$$\lambda^-_{\alpha,1} \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,1}(0) \tag{2.14}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$. Note that if there is no magnetic field, that is $\alpha = 0$, Sy and Sunada [SS92] proved that $\lambda^-_{0,1} = \lambda_{0,1}(0)$. However, the equality in (2.14) does not hold for general α, since for some specific graphs we have the strict inequality (see Examples 5.2 – 5.6 in [HS01]).

2.3. **Estimates of the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum.** Now we estimate the Lebesgue measure of the spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator in terms of the Betti number and the Lebesgue measure of the gaps in terms of the Betti number and electric potentials.

Theorem 2.3. *i) The Lebesgue measure $|\sigma(H_\alpha)|$ of the spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ satisfies*

$$|\sigma(H_\alpha)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |\sigma_n(H_\alpha)| \leqslant 4\beta, \tag{2.15}$$

where β is the Betti number defined by (1.15). Moreover, if there exist s spectral gaps $\gamma_1(H_\alpha), \dots, \gamma_s(H_\alpha)$ in the spectrum $\sigma(H_\alpha)$, then the following estimates hold true:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{s} |\gamma_n(H_\alpha)| \geqslant \lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,1} - 4\beta \geqslant C_0 - 4\beta, \qquad C_0 = |\lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,\nu} - \lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,1} - q_\bullet|, \qquad q_\bullet = \max_{v\in V_*} Q(v) - \min_{v\in V_*} Q(v), \tag{2.16}$$

where $\lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,\nu}$, $\lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,1}$ and $\lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu}$, $\lambda^-_{\alpha,1}$ are the upper and lower endpoints of the spectrum of the Laplacian Δ_α and the Schrödinger operator H_α, respectively.

ii) The estimates (2.15) and the first estimate in (2.16) become identities for some classes of graphs, see (5.8).

Remarks. 1) There exists a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic graph Γ, such that the total length of all spectral bands of the magnetic Schrödinger operators $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ on the graph Γ **depends on neither the potential Q nor the magnetic potential α** (see Proposition 5.3).

2) The Lebesgue measure $|\sigma(H_\alpha)|$ of the spectrum of H_α (on specific graphs) can be arbitrary large (see Proposition 5.3).

Now we estimate a variation of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operators under a perturbation by a magnetic field in terms of magnetic fluxes.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ and let the corresponding magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ have the spectral bands $\sigma_{\alpha,n}$ given by (2.10). Then for any $\alpha^o \in \mathscr{F}_1$ all corresponding band ends $\lambda^\pm_{\alpha^o,n}$ satisfy*

$$\Lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda^\pm_{\alpha^o,n} - \lambda^\pm_{\alpha,n} \leqslant \Lambda_\nu, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\big||\sigma_{\alpha^o,n}| - |\sigma_{\alpha,n}|\big| \leqslant \Lambda_\nu - \Lambda_1, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\Lambda_1 = \min_{\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d} \lambda_1(X_{\alpha^o,\alpha}(\vartheta)), \qquad \Lambda_\nu = \max_{\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d} \lambda_\nu(X_{\alpha^o,\alpha}(\vartheta)), \tag{2.19}$$

and $X_{\alpha^o,\alpha}(\cdot) = H_{\alpha^o}(\cdot) - H_\alpha(\cdot)$. Moreover, Λ_1 and Λ_ν satisfy the following estimates:

$$\max\{|\Lambda_1|, |\Lambda_\nu|\} \leqslant C_{\alpha^o,\alpha}, \qquad \Lambda_\nu - \Lambda_1 \leqslant 2C_{\alpha^o,\alpha}, \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$C_{\alpha^o,\alpha} = 2\max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} |\sin x_{\mathbf{e}}|, \qquad x_{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\phi_{\alpha^o}(\mathbf{e}) - \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})\big), \tag{2.21}$$

$\mathcal{S}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ are defined by (1.16), and the magnetic flux $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})$ is given by (1.17).

Remark. The magnetic Schrödinger operators depend on magnetic potentials, but we obtain the estimates of a variation of the spectrum in terms of the difference of magnetic fluxes only.

2.4. **Effective masses for magnetic Laplacians.** Let $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, be a band function of the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α and let $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)$ have a minimum (maximum) at some point ϑ_0. Assume that $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$. Then the eigenvalue $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)$ has the Taylor series as $\vartheta = \vartheta_0 + \varepsilon\omega$, $\omega = (\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^d \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $\varepsilon = |\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \to 0$:

$$\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta) = \lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0) + \varepsilon^2\mu_\alpha(\omega) + O(\varepsilon^3), \qquad \mu_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{d} M_{jk}\,\omega_j\omega_k, \tag{2.22}$$

where $\mathbb{S}^d$ is the d-dimensional sphere. Here the linear terms vanish, since $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)$ has an extremum at the point ϑ_0. The matrix $M = \{M_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^d$ is given by

$$M_{jk} = \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)}{\partial\vartheta_j \partial\vartheta_k}\,, \tag{2.23}$$

and the matrix $m = M^{-1}$ represents a tensor, which is called *the effective mass tensor* [Ki95]. The effective mass approximation (2.22) is a standard approach in solid state physics. Roughly speaking, in this approach, a complicated Hamiltonian is replaced by the model Hamiltonian $-\frac{\Delta}{2m}$, where Δ is the Laplacian and m is the so-called effective mass. We call the quadratic form $\mu_\alpha(\omega)$ *the effective form*.

If a magnetic field is absent, then upper bounds on the effective masses associated with the ends of each spectral band in terms of geometric parameters of the graphs were obtained in [KS16]. Moreover, in the case of the bottom of the spectrum two-sided estimates on the effective mass in terms of geometric parameters of the graphs were determined. Now we estimate the effective forms $\mu_\alpha(\omega)$ associated with the ends of each spectral band for the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α.

Theorem 2.5. *Let a band function $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, have a minimum (maximum) at some point ϑ_0 and let $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ be a simple eigenvalue of $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$. Then the effective form $\mu_\alpha(\omega)$ from (2.22) satisfies*

$$\big|\mu_\alpha(\omega)\big| \leqslant \frac{T_1^2}{\rho_\alpha} + T_2 \qquad \forall\ \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\text{where} \qquad T_s = \frac{1}{s} \max_{u \in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \|\tau(\mathbf{e})\|^s\,, \quad s = 1, 2, \tag{2.25}$$

and $\rho_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ is the distance between $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ and the set $\sigma\big(\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\big) \setminus \big\{\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\big\}$, $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ is the index of the edge $\mathbf{e}$ defined by (1.10), (1.12), $\mathcal{S}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ are given by (1.16).

Remarks. 1) This theorem gives only an upper bound on the effective form $\mu_\alpha(\omega)$. We know low bounds only for the case $\alpha = 0$ [KS16].

2) Shterenberg [S04], [S06] considered periodic magnetic Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and proved that the effective mass tensor can be degenerate for specific magnetic fields, i.e., the matrix M defined by (2.23) is not invertible. In the case of effective masses for magnetic Laplacians on graphs this is an open problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 about the decomposition of magnetic Schrödinger operators into a constant fiber direct integral with a precise representation of fiber operators. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 2.3, 2.4 about spectral estimates for magnetic Schrödinger operators and Theorem 2.5 about estimates on the effective masses of the magnetic Laplacians. In Section 5 we describe some simple properties of fiber magnetic Laplacians and Schrödinger operators and show that the spectral estimates obtained in Theorem 2.3 become identities for a specific graph. In the proof we use an example from [KS14]. In Section 5 we also recall some well-known properties of matrices needed to prove our main results. In Section 6 we consider a more general class of magnetic Laplace and Schrödinger operators and briefly formulate similar results for these generalized operators. In this section we also give a factorization of the generalized fiber magnetic Laplacians. This factorization may be crucial for investigation of the bottom of the spectrum of the magnetic

Laplacians, for example, for two-sided estimates on the effective mass as it happened in the non-magnetic case (see [KS16]). This section can be read independently on the rest of the paper.

3. Direct integrals for magnetic Schrödinger operators

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2.

3.1. **Floquet decomposition of Schrödinger operators.** Recall that we introduce the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ by (2.1). We identify the vertices of the fundamental graph $\Gamma_* = (V_*, \mathcal{E}_*)$ with the vertices of the periodic graph $\Gamma = (V, \mathcal{E})$ from the fundamental vertex set V_0.

Theorem 3.1. *For each 1-form $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ on $\ell^2(V)$ has the following decomposition into a constant fiber direct integral*

$$\ell^2(V) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \ell^2(V_*)\, d\vartheta, \qquad U H_\alpha U^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \widehat{H}_\alpha(\vartheta) d\vartheta, \tag{3.1}$$

for the unitary operator $U : \ell^2(V) \to \mathscr{H}$ defined by

$$(Uf)(\vartheta, v) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m, \vartheta\rangle} f(v+m), \qquad (\vartheta, v) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times V_*, \tag{3.2}$$

where $v + m$ denotes the action of $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ on $v \in V_*$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Here the fiber magnetic Schrödinger operator $\widehat{H}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ and the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ are given by

$$\widehat{H}_\alpha(\vartheta) = \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta) + Q, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\big(\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(v) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \big(f(v) - e^{i(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta\rangle)} f(u)\big), \qquad v \in V_*, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\tau(\mathbf{e}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the edge index defined by (1.10), (1.12).

Proof. Denote by $\ell^2_{fin}(V)$ the set of all finitely supported functions $f \in \ell^2(V)$. Standard arguments (see pp. 290–291 in [RS78]) give that U is well defined on $\ell^2_{fin}(V)$ and has a unique extension to a unitary operator. For $f \in \ell^2_{fin}(V)$ the sum (3.2) is finite and using the identity $V = \big\{v + m : (v, m) \in V_* \times \mathbb{Z}^d\big\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Uf\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \|(Uf)(\vartheta, \cdot)\|^2_{V_*} \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{v \in V_*} \Big(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m, \vartheta\rangle} f(v+m) \Big) \Big(\sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{i\langle m', \vartheta\rangle} \overline{f(v+m')} \Big) \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d} \\
&= \sum_{v \in V_*} \sum_{m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(v+m) \overline{f(v+m')} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-i\langle m - m', \vartheta\rangle} \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d} \\
&= \sum_{(v,\,m) \in V_* \times \mathbb{Z}^d} \big| f(v+m) \big|^2 = \sum_{v \in V} |f(v)|^2 = \|f\|^2_V.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, U is well defined on $\ell^2_{fin}(V)$ and has a unique isometric extension. In order to prove that U is onto $\mathscr{H}$ we compute U^*. Let $g = \big(g(\cdot, v)\big)_{v\in V_*} \in \mathscr{H}$, where $g(\cdot, v) : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{C}$. We define

$$(U^*g)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} g(\vartheta, v_*) \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d}\,, \qquad v = v_* + m \in V, \tag{3.5}$$

where $(v_*, m) \in V_* \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ is uniquely defined. A direct computation gives that it is indeed the formula for the adjoint of U. Moreover, Parseval's identity for the Fourier series gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|U^*g\|^2_V = \sum_{v\in V} \big|(U^*g)(v)\big|^2 &= \sum_{(v,\,m)\in V_*\times\mathbb{Z}^d} \big|(U^*g)(v+m)\big|^2 \\ &= \sum_{(v,\,m)\in V_*\times\mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} g(\vartheta, v) \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d} \right|^2 \\ = \sum_{v\in V_*} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \big|g(\vartheta, v)\big|^2 \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{v\in V_*} \big|g(\vartheta, v)\big|^2 \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d} = \|g\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Further, for $f \in \ell^2_{fin}(V)$ and $v \in V_*$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(U\Delta_\alpha f)(\vartheta, v) &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} (\Delta_\alpha f)(v+m) \\ &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v+m,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}} \big(f(v+m) - e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})} f(u)\big) \\ = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} f(v+m) &- \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})} f(u+\tau(\mathbf{e})+m) \\ = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} (Uf)(\vartheta, v) &- \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} e^{i(\alpha(\mathbf{e})+\langle\tau(\mathbf{e}),\vartheta\rangle)} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m+\tau(\mathbf{e}),\vartheta\rangle} f(u+\tau(\mathbf{e})+m) \\ = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} &\Big[(Uf)(\vartheta, v) - e^{i(\alpha(\mathbf{e})+\langle\tau(\mathbf{e}),\vartheta\rangle)} (Uf)(\vartheta, u)\Big] = \big(\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)(Uf)(\vartheta, \cdot)\big)(v).\end{aligned}$$

This and the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}\big(UQf\big)(\vartheta, v) &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} \big(Qf\big)(v+m) \\ &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle m,\vartheta\rangle} Q(v) f(v+m) = Q(v)(Uf)(\vartheta, v)\end{aligned}$$

yield

$$(U\Delta_\alpha f)(\vartheta, \cdot) = \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)(Uf)(\vartheta, \cdot), \qquad \big(UQf\big)(\vartheta, \cdot) = Q\,(Uf)(\vartheta, \cdot).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$UH_\alpha U^{-1} = U(\Delta_\alpha + Q)U^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \big(\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta) + Q\big) \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \widehat{H}_\alpha(\vartheta) \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d}\,,$$

which completes the proof. ∎

3.2. **Magnetic fluxes representation.** For a given magnetic field the magnetic potential α is defined up to a gauge transformation. Therefore, in Theorem 3.3 we will give a more convenient representation of the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ in terms of *magnetic fluxes*.

We fix a vertex $v_0 \in V_*$. For each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we define the function $W : \ell^2(V_*) \to \mathbb{R}^\nu$ as follows: for any vertex $v \in V_*$, take an oriented path $p = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$ on Γ_* starting at v_0 and ending at v and set

$$W(v) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \big(\alpha(\mathbf{e}_s) - \alpha_*(\mathbf{e}_s)\big), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\alpha_* \in \mathcal{F}_1$ is defined by (2.5).

Proposition 3.2. *The value $W(v)$ does not depend on the choice of a path from v_0 to v.*

Proof. Let p and q be some oriented pathes from v_0 to v. We consider the cycle $\mathcal{C} = p\underline{q}$, where $\underline{q}$ is the inverse path of q. Then we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{C}} \big(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) - \alpha_*(\mathbf{e})\big) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in p} \big(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) - \alpha_*(\mathbf{e})\big) - \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in q} \big(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) - \alpha_*(\mathbf{e})\big). \tag{3.7}$$

The definition of α_* gives that for each basic cycle $\mathcal{C}_e$ we have $\sum_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{C}_e} \alpha(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{C}_e} \alpha_*(\mathbf{e})$, and, consequently, for each cycle $\mathcal{C}$ we get $\sum_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{C}} \alpha(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{C}} \alpha_*(\mathbf{e})$. Combining the last identity and (3.7), we obtain

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e}\in p} \big(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) - \alpha_*(\mathbf{e})\big) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in q} \big(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) - \alpha_*(\mathbf{e})\big),$$

which implies that $W(v)$ does not depend on the choice of a path. ∎

Theorem 3.3. *For each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ defined by (3.4) is unitarily equivalent, by a gauge transformation $\mathcal{U}$ acting in $\ell^2(V_*)$ and given by*

$$(\mathcal{U}\, g)(v) = e^{iW(v)} g(v), \qquad g \in \ell^2(V_*), \qquad v \in V_*, \tag{3.8}$$

where W is defined by (3.6), to the operator $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ given by

$$\big(\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(v) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \big(f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} f(u)\big), \qquad v \in V_*, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\Phi(\mathbf{e}, \vartheta) = \alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta \rangle; \tag{3.10}$$

the modified 1-form $\alpha_* \in \mathscr{F}_1$ is given by (2.5), $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ is the index of the edge $\mathbf{e}$ defined by (1.10), (1.12).

Proof. From (3.6) it follows that

$$W(u) = W(v) + \alpha(\mathbf{e}) - \alpha_*(\mathbf{e}), \qquad \forall\, \mathbf{e} = (v, u) \in \mathcal{A}_*.$$

Using this, (3.4) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(v) &= \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \big(f(v) - e^{i(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta\rangle)} f(u)\big) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \big(f(v) - e^{-iW(v)} e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} e^{iW(u)} f(u)\big) \\ = e^{-iW(v)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} &\big(e^{iW(v)} f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} e^{iW(u)} f(u)\big) = \big(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) \mathcal{U} f\big)(v), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

which yields the required statement. ∎

Remark. From Theorem 3.3 it follows that each fiber magnetic Schrödinger operator $\widehat{H}_\alpha(\vartheta) = \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)+Q$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, defined by (3.3) is unitarily equivalent to the operator $H_\alpha(\vartheta) = \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)+Q$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. This theorem follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.3. ∎

Corollary 3.4. *The $\nu \times \nu$ matrix $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) = \{\Delta_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta)\}_{u,v\in V_*}$ associated to the fiber operator $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ for the magnetic combinatorial Laplacian Δ_α defined by (1.4) in the standard orthonormal basis is given by*

$$\Delta_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \varkappa_v - \displaystyle\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \cos\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta), & \text{if } u = v \\ -\displaystyle\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{A}_*} e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)}, & \text{if } u \sim v, \quad u \neq v \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \tag{3.12}$$

Here ν is the number of the fundamental graph vertices, $\varkappa_v$ is the degree of the vertex v, $\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)$ is defined by (3.10), (2.5).

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{f}_u)_{u\in V_*}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(V_*)$. Substituting the formula (3.9) in the identity

$$\Delta_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta) = \langle \mathfrak{f}_u, \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)\mathfrak{f}_v\rangle_{V_*}$$

and using the fact that for each loop $\mathbf{e} = (u,u) \in \mathcal{A}_*$ with the phase $\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)$ there exists a loop $\underline{\mathbf{e}} = (u,u) \in \mathcal{A}_*$ with the phase $-\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)$ and the identity

$$e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} + e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} = 2\cos\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta),$$

we obtain (3.12). ∎

Proof of Corollary 2.2. Due to the connectivity of the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic graph Γ, on the fundamental graph Γ_* there exist d edges $\mathbf{e}_1,\dots,\mathbf{e}_d$ with linearly independent indices $\tau(\mathbf{e}_1),\dots,\tau(\mathbf{e}_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then there exists $\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{T}^d$ satisfying the system of the linear equations

$$\alpha_*(\mathbf{e}_s) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}_s), \vartheta_0\rangle = 0, \qquad s = 1,\dots,d. \tag{3.13}$$

If we make the change of variables $\widetilde{\vartheta} = \vartheta - \vartheta_0$, then, using (3.13) and (2.5), for each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta\rangle &= \alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \widetilde{\vartheta} + \vartheta_0\rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mathbf{e} \notin (\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}) \\ \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \widetilde{\vartheta}\,\rangle, & \text{if } \mathbf{e} \in \{\mathbf{e}_1,\dots,\mathbf{e}_d,\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1,\dots,\underline{\mathbf{e}}_d\} \\ \alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta_0\rangle + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \widetilde{\vartheta}\,\rangle, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta\rangle = \widetilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \widetilde{\vartheta}\,\rangle, \qquad \forall\, \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_*,$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is defined by (2.7), and we obtain (2.6).

Now let $\beta = d$. Then

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S} \setminus \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\} = \varnothing, \qquad \widetilde{\alpha} = 0, \qquad \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) = \Delta_0(\widetilde{\vartheta}).$$

This yields that H_α is unitarily equivalent to H_0. ∎

4. Proof of the main results

In this section we prove Theorems 2.3 – 2.5.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. i) We need the following representation of the Floquet matrix $H_\alpha(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$:

$$H_\alpha(\cdot) = H^0_\alpha + V_\alpha(\cdot), \qquad H^0_\alpha = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} H_\alpha(\vartheta) d\vartheta. \tag{4.1}$$

From (4.1), (3.12), (1.12) and (1.14) we deduce that the matrix $V_\alpha(\vartheta) = \{V_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta)\}_{u,v\in V_*}$ has the form

$$V_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta) = - \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)}. \tag{4.2}$$

We define the diagonal matrix $B_\alpha(\vartheta)$ by

$$B_\alpha(\vartheta) = \operatorname{diag}(B_{\alpha,u}(\vartheta))_{u\in V_*}, \qquad B_{\alpha,u}(\vartheta) = \sum_{v\in V_*} \big|V_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta)\big|, \qquad \vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d. \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.2) we deduce that

$$\big|V_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta)\big| \leqslant \big|V_{\alpha,uv}(0)\big| = \beta_{uv}, \qquad \forall\ (u,v,\vartheta) \in V_*^2 \times \mathbb{T}^d, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\beta_{uv} = \#\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{S} \cup \underline{\mathcal{S}} \mid \mathbf{e} = (u,v)\}, \tag{4.5}$$

$\#A$ is the number of elements of the set A. Then (4.4) gives

$$B_\alpha(\vartheta) \leqslant B_\alpha(0), \qquad \forall\, \vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d. \tag{4.6}$$

Then the estimate (4.6) and Proposition 5.4.iii yield

$$-B_\alpha(0) \leqslant -B_\alpha(\vartheta) \leqslant V_\alpha(\vartheta) \leqslant B_\alpha(\vartheta) \leqslant B_\alpha(0), \qquad \forall \vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d. \tag{4.7}$$

We use some arguments from [Ku15]. Combining (4.1) and (4.7), we obtain

$$H^0_\alpha - B_\alpha(0) \leqslant H_\alpha(\vartheta) \leqslant H^0_\alpha + B_\alpha(0).$$

Thus, the standard perturbation theory (see Proposition 5.4.i) gives

$$\lambda_n(H^0_\alpha - B_\alpha(0)) \leqslant \lambda^-_{\alpha,n} \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta) \leqslant \lambda^+_{\alpha,n} \leqslant \lambda_n(H^0_\alpha + B_\alpha(0)), \quad \forall\ (n,\vartheta) \in \mathbb{N}_\nu \times \mathbb{T}^d,$$

which implies

$$\big|\sigma(H_\alpha)\big| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} (\lambda^+_{\alpha,n} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,n}) \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} \big(\lambda_n(H^0_\alpha + B_\alpha(0)) - \lambda_n(H^0_\alpha - B_\alpha(0))\big) = 2\operatorname{Tr} B_\alpha(0). \tag{4.8}$$

In order to determine $2\operatorname{Tr} B_\alpha(0)$ we use the relations (4.4), (4.5) and we obtain

$$2\operatorname{Tr} B_\alpha(0) = 2\sum_{u\in V_*} B_{\alpha,u}(0) = 2\sum_{u,v\in V_*} |V_{\alpha,uv}(0)| = 2\sum_{u,v\in V_*} \beta_{uv} = 2\#(\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}) = 4\beta. \tag{4.9}$$

The estimate (2.15) follows from (4.8) and (4.9).

Now we will prove (2.16). Since $\lambda^-_{\alpha,1}$ and $\lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu}$ are the lower and upper endpoints of the spectrum $\sigma(H_\alpha)$, respectively, using the estimate (2.15), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{s} |\gamma_n(H_\alpha)| = \lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,1} - \big|\sigma(H_\alpha)\big| \geqslant \lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,1} - 4\beta. \tag{4.10}$$

We rewrite the sequence $(Q(v))_{v\in V_*}$ in nondecreasing order

$$q^\bullet_1 \leqslant q^\bullet_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant q^\bullet_\nu \quad \text{and let} \quad q^\bullet_1 = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Here $q^\bullet_1 = Q(v_1), q^\bullet_2 = Q(v_2), \ldots, q^\bullet_\nu = Q(v_\nu)$ for some distinct vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\nu \in V_*$ and without loss of generality we may assume that $q^\bullet_1 = 0$.

Then Proposition 5.4.ii gives that the eigenvalues of the Floquet matrix $H_\alpha(\vartheta)$ for $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &q^\bullet_n + \lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,1} \leqslant q^\bullet_n + \lambda^0_{\alpha,1}(\vartheta) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta) \leqslant q^\bullet_n + \lambda^0_{\alpha,\nu}(\vartheta) \leqslant q^\bullet_n + \lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,\nu}\,, \\ &\lambda^0_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta) \leqslant \lambda^0_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta) + q^\bullet_\nu\,, \qquad \forall\,(\vartheta, n) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{N}_\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The first inequalities in (4.12) give

$$\lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu} \geqslant q^\bullet_\nu + \lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,1}\,, \qquad \lambda^-_{\alpha,1} \leqslant \lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,\nu}\,, \tag{4.13}$$

and, using the second inequalities in (4.12), we have

$$\lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,\nu} = \max_{\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d} \lambda^0_{\alpha,\nu}(\vartheta) = \lambda^0_{\alpha,\nu}(\vartheta_+) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,\nu}(\vartheta_+) \leqslant \lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu}\,, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,1} = \min_{\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d} \lambda^0_{\alpha,1}(\vartheta) = \lambda^0_{\alpha,1}(\vartheta_-) \geqslant \lambda_{\alpha,1}(\vartheta_-) - q^\bullet_\nu \geqslant \lambda^-_{\alpha,1} - q^\bullet_\nu \tag{4.15}$$

for some $\vartheta_-, \vartheta_+ \in \mathbb{T}^d$. From (4.13) – (4.15) it follows that

$$\lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,1} \geqslant q^\bullet_\nu + \lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,1} - \lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,\nu}, \qquad \lambda^+_{\alpha,\nu} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,1} \geqslant \lambda^{0+}_{\alpha,\nu} - \lambda^{0-}_{\alpha,1} - q^\bullet_\nu,$$

which yields (2.16).

ii) This item will be proved in Proposition 5.3.v. ∎

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We use the magnetic fluxes representation given by Theorem 2.1. Define the operator $V_\alpha(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, acting on $\mathbb{C}^\nu$ by

$$\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) = \Delta_0(\vartheta) + V_\alpha(\vartheta), \qquad H_\alpha(\vartheta) = H_0(\vartheta) + V_\alpha(\vartheta).$$

Here $\Delta_0(\vartheta)$ is the fiber Laplacian and $V_\alpha(\vartheta)$ is the fiber magnetic perturbation operator with the matrix $V_\alpha(\vartheta) = \{V_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta)\}_{u,v\in V_*}$ given by

$$V_{\alpha,uv}(\vartheta) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} e^{-i\langle\tau(\mathbf{e}),\,\vartheta\rangle}\big(1 - e^{-i\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})}\big). \tag{4.16}$$

Let $\lambda_{\alpha,1}(\vartheta) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,2}(\vartheta) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,\nu}(\vartheta)$ be the eigenvalues of $H_\alpha(\vartheta)$. We have

$$H_{\alpha^o}(\vartheta) = H_\alpha(\vartheta) + X_{\alpha^o,\alpha}(\vartheta), \qquad X(\vartheta) \equiv X_{\alpha^o,\alpha}(\vartheta) = V_{\alpha^o}(\vartheta) - V_\alpha(\vartheta), \tag{4.17}$$

where the matrix $X(\vartheta) = \{X_{uv}(\vartheta)\}_{u,v\in V_*}$ is given by

$$X_{uv}(\vartheta) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} e^{-i\langle\tau(\mathbf{e}),\,\vartheta\rangle}\big(e^{-i\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})} - e^{-i\phi_{\alpha^o}(\mathbf{e})}\big). \tag{4.18}$$

Then Proposition 5.4.ii gives that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$ we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta) + \Lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha^o,n}(\vartheta) \leqslant \lambda_{\alpha,n}(\vartheta) + \Lambda_\nu, \tag{4.19}$$

where Λ_1, Λ_ν are defined by (2.19). From this we deduce that $\Lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda^{\pm}_{\alpha^o,n} - \lambda^{\pm}_{\alpha,n} \leqslant \Lambda_\nu$ and

$$|\sigma_{\alpha^o,n}| = \lambda^+_{\alpha^o,n} - \lambda^-_{\alpha^o,n} \leqslant (\lambda^+_{\alpha,n} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,n}) + (\Lambda_\nu - \Lambda_1) = |\sigma_{\alpha,n}| + (\Lambda_\nu - \Lambda_1). \tag{4.20}$$

Similar arguments give

$$|\sigma_{\alpha^o,n}| = \lambda^+_{\alpha^o,n} - \lambda^-_{\alpha^o,n} \geqslant (\lambda^+_{\alpha,n} - \lambda^-_{\alpha,n}) - (\Lambda_\nu - \Lambda_1) = |\sigma_{\alpha,n}| - (\Lambda_\nu - \Lambda_1). \tag{4.21}$$

Combining (4.20) and (4.21), we obtain (2.18).

We estimate Λ_1 and Λ_ν. The standard estimate yields

$$\|X(\vartheta)\| \leqslant \max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{v\in V_*} \big|X_{uv}(\vartheta)\big|, \qquad \max\{|\Lambda_1|, |\Lambda_\nu|\} \leqslant \max_{\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d} \|X(\vartheta)\|. \tag{4.22}$$

Using (4.18), we obtain

$$|X_{uv}(\vartheta)| \leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} 2\,|\sin x_{\mathbf{e}}|, \qquad x_{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\phi_{\alpha^o}(\mathbf{e}) - \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{e})\big), \qquad \forall\,\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d. \tag{4.23}$$

Then we deduce that

$$\max\{|\Lambda_1|, |\Lambda_\nu|\} \leqslant \max_{\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d} \|X(\vartheta)\| \leqslant \max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{v\in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} 2\,|\sin x_{\mathbf{e}}| = C_{\alpha^o,\alpha}, \tag{4.24}$$

where $C_{\alpha^o,\alpha}$ is defined in (2.21). The second identity in (2.20) is a simple consequence of the first identity. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $\psi_\alpha(\vartheta_0,\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}^\nu$ be the normalized eigenfunction, corresponding to the simple eigenvalue $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$. Then the eigenvalue $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)$ and the corresponding normalized eigenfunction $\psi_\alpha(\vartheta,\cdot)$ have asymptotics as $\vartheta = \vartheta_0 + \varepsilon\omega$, $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $\varepsilon \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta) = \lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0) + \varepsilon^2\mu_\alpha(\omega) + O(\varepsilon^3), \qquad \psi_\alpha(\vartheta,\cdot) = \psi_{\alpha,0} + \varepsilon\psi_{\alpha,1} + \varepsilon^2\psi_{\alpha,2} + O(\varepsilon^3),\\
&\mu_\alpha(\omega) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\ddot\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0+\varepsilon\omega)\big|_{\varepsilon=0}, \qquad \psi_{\alpha,0} = \psi_\alpha(\vartheta_0,\cdot),\\
&\psi_{\alpha,1} = \psi_{\alpha,1}(\omega,\cdot) = \dot\psi_\alpha(\vartheta_0+\varepsilon\omega,\cdot)\big|_{\varepsilon=0}, \qquad \psi_{\alpha,2} = \psi_{\alpha,2}(\omega,\cdot) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\ddot\psi_\alpha(\vartheta_0+\varepsilon\omega,\cdot)\big|_{\varepsilon=0},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where $\dot u = \partial u/\partial\varepsilon$ and $\mathbb{S}^d$ is the d-dimensional sphere. The Floquet matrix $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta\in\mathbb{T}^d$, defined by (3.12) can be represented in the following form:

$$\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) - \lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\mathbb{1}_\nu = \Delta_{\alpha,0} + \varepsilon\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega) + \varepsilon^2\Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega) + O(\varepsilon^3), \tag{4.26}$$

as $\vartheta = \vartheta_0 + \varepsilon\omega$, $\varepsilon\to 0$, $\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, where

$$\Delta_{\alpha,0} = \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0) - \lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\mathbb{1}_\nu, \quad \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega) = \dot\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0+\varepsilon\omega)\big|_{\varepsilon=0}, \quad \Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\ddot\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0+\varepsilon\omega)\big|_{\varepsilon=0}, \tag{4.27}$$

$\mathbb{1}_\nu$ is the identity $\nu\times\nu$ matrix. The equation $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)\psi_\alpha(\vartheta,\cdot) = \lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)\psi_\alpha(\vartheta,\cdot)$ after substitution (4.25), (4.26) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\Delta_{\alpha,0} + \varepsilon\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega) + \varepsilon^2\Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega) + O(\varepsilon^3)\big)\big(\psi_{\alpha,0} + \varepsilon\psi_{\alpha,1} + \varepsilon^2\psi_{\alpha,2} + O(\varepsilon^3)\big)\\
= \big(\varepsilon^2\mu_\alpha(\omega) + O(\varepsilon^3)\big)\big(\psi_{\alpha,0} + \varepsilon\psi_{\alpha,1} + \varepsilon^2\psi_{\alpha,2} + O(\varepsilon^3)\big),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where $\psi_{\alpha,0}, \psi_{\alpha,1}, \psi_{\alpha,2}$ are defined in (4.25). This asymptotics gives two identities for any $\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$:

$$\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0} + \Delta_{\alpha,0}\psi_{\alpha,1} = 0, \tag{4.29}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0} + \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,1} + \Delta_{\alpha,0}\psi_{\alpha,2} = \mu_\alpha(\omega)\,\psi_{\alpha,0}. \tag{4.30}$$

Using (3.12) we obtain that the entries of the matrices $\Delta_{\alpha,s}(\omega) = \{\Delta_{uv}^{(\alpha,s)}(\omega)\}_{u,v\in V_*}$, $s = 1, 2$, defined by (4.27), have the form

$$\Delta_{uv}^{(\alpha,1)}(\omega) = i \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,\,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \omega\rangle \, e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta_0)}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$\Delta_{uv}^{(\alpha,2)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,\,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \omega\rangle^2 \, e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta_0)}, \tag{4.32}$$

for any $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, where $\Phi(\mathbf{e}, \vartheta)$ is defined by (3.10), (2.5).

We recall a simple fact that $\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}$ and $\psi_{\alpha,0}$ are orthogonal. Indeed, multiplying both sides of (4.29) by $\psi_{\alpha,0}$ and using that $\Delta_{\alpha,0}\psi_{\alpha,0} = 0$, we have $\langle \Delta_{\alpha,1}\psi_{\alpha,0}, \psi_{\alpha,0}\rangle = 0$, which yields $\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0} \perp \psi_{\alpha,0}$.

Let P_α be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace of $\ell^2(V_*)$ orthogonal to $\psi_{\alpha,0}$. From (4.29) we obtain

$$\psi_{\alpha,1} = -(P_\alpha\Delta_{\alpha,0})^{-1}P_\alpha\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}. \tag{4.33}$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.30) by $\psi_{\alpha,0}$, substituting (4.33) and using that $\Delta_{\alpha,0}\psi_{\alpha,0} = 0$, we have

$$\mu_\alpha(\omega) = \langle \Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}, \psi_{\alpha,0}\rangle - \langle (P_\alpha\Delta_{\alpha,0})^{-1}P_\alpha\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}, \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}\rangle. \tag{4.34}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\mu_\alpha(\omega)\big| &\leqslant \big|\langle \Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}, \psi_{\alpha,0}\rangle\big| + \big|\langle (P_\alpha\Delta_{\alpha,0})^{-1}P_\alpha\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}, \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\psi_{\alpha,0}\rangle\big| \\ &\leqslant \|\Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega)\| + \|(P_\alpha\Delta_{\alpha,0})^{-1}P_\alpha\| \cdot \|\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\|^2 \leqslant \|\Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega)\| + \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha}\,\|\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

where $\rho_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ is the distance between $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ and $\sigma\big(\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\big) \setminus \big\{\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\big\}$. Due to (4.31), (4.32), we have

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\omega)\| \leqslant \max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \big|\langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \omega\rangle\big| \leqslant \max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \|\tau(\mathbf{e})\| = T_1, \tag{4.36}$$

$$\|\Delta_{\alpha,2}(\omega)\| \leqslant \max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \frac{\langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \omega\rangle^2}{2} \leqslant \max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \frac{\|\tau(\mathbf{e})\|^2}{2} = T_2. \tag{4.37}$$

Substituting (4.36), (4.37) into (4.35), we obtain (2.24). ∎

5. Properties of fiber operators and an example

In this section we show that the spectral estimates obtained in Theorem 2.3 become identities for a specific graph.

5.1. **Properties of fiber operators.**

We describe some simple properties of fiber magnetic Laplacians and Schrödinger operators.

Proposition 5.1. *For a given 1-form $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$, we define another 1-form by $\widehat{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) = -\alpha(\mathbf{e})$ for every $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_*$. Then for each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ the spectra of the fiber magnetic Schrödinger operators $\widehat{H}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ and $\widehat{H}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(\vartheta)$ defined by (3.3), (3.4) satisfy $\sigma\big(\widehat{H}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(-\vartheta)\big) = \sigma\big(\widehat{H}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big)$ and, consequently, $\sigma(H_{\widehat{\alpha}}) = \sigma(H_\alpha)$.*

Proof. It is obvious by setting a unitary map $U : \ell^2(V_*) \to \ell^2(V_*)$ as $U(f) = \overline{f}$ and using (1.13). ∎

A graph is called *bipartite* if its vertex set is divided into two disjoint sets (called *parts* of the graph) such that each edge connects vertices from distinct parts. A graph is called *regular of degree* $\varkappa_+$ if each its vertex v has the degree $\varkappa_v = \varkappa_+$.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that* Γ *is a periodic regular graph of degree* $\varkappa_+$. *Then the fiber Laplacians* $\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ *defined by (3.4) (and, due to unitary equivalence, also the fiber Laplacians* $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ *defined by (2.4)) have the following properties.*

i) Let we fix any orientation on $\mathcal{E}_*$ *and let* α *be a given 1-form. Let 1-form* $\widehat{\alpha}$ *be defined as follows:*

$$\widehat{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) = \pi - \alpha(\mathbf{e}) \text{ for every } \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_*; \qquad \widehat{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) = -\widehat{\alpha}(\underline{\mathbf{e}}\,) \text{ for every } \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_* \setminus \mathcal{E}_*. \tag{5.1}$$

Then the spectra $\sigma\big(\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big)$ *and* $\sigma\big(\widehat{\Delta}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(-\vartheta)\big)$ *of the fiber magnetic Laplacians* $\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ *and* $\widehat{\Delta}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(-\vartheta)$ *defined by (3.4) are symmetric with respect to* $\varkappa_+$ *for each* $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, *that is,*

$$\sigma\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big) = -\sigma\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(-\vartheta)\big), \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ *is the identity operator. Consequently,* $\sigma(\Delta_\alpha)$ *and* $\sigma(\Delta_{\widehat{\alpha}})$ *are symmetric with respect to* $\varkappa_+$, *that is,* $\sigma(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \Delta_\alpha) = -\sigma(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \Delta_{\widehat{\alpha}})$.

ii) Suppose that a fundamental graph Γ_* *of the graph* Γ *is bipartite. Then the spectrum* $\sigma\big(\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big)$ *of the fiber magnetic Laplacian* $\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)$ *is symmetric with respect to* $\varkappa_+$ *for each* $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, *that is,*

$$\lambda \in \sigma\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\lambda \in \sigma\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big). \tag{5.3}$$

Consequently, $\sigma(\Delta_\alpha)$ *is symmetric with respect to* $\varkappa_+$.

Proof. i) Since the identity $e^{i(\widehat{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) - \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta\rangle)} = -e^{-i(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta\rangle)}$ holds true for each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_*$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_{\widehat{\alpha}}(-\vartheta)\big) f\big)(v) &= \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} e^{i(\widehat{\alpha}(\mathbf{e}) - \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta\rangle)} f(u) \\ = -\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} e^{-i(\alpha(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}),\, \vartheta\rangle)} f(u) &= -\overline{\big(\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big)\overline{f}\,\big)(v)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

This yields (5.2).

ii) Let Γ_* be a bipartite fundamental graph with parts V_1 and V_2. We define the unitary operator U on $f \in \ell^2(V_*)$ by

$$(Uf)(v) = \begin{cases} f(v), & \text{if } \ v \in V_1 \\ -f(v), & \text{if } \ v \in V_2 \end{cases}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\big(U^{-1}\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big) U f\big)(v) = -\big(\big(\varkappa_+ \mathbb{1} - \widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big) f\big)(v),$$

which yields that $\sigma\big(\widehat{\Delta}_\alpha(\vartheta)\big)$ is symmetric with respect to $\varkappa_+$. ∎

Remark. The properties i) and ii) for the magnetic Laplacians on a locally finite graph were proved in [HS01].

5.2. **Maximal abelian covering.** We consider a specific class of periodic graphs having some particular properties. Let Γ be a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic graph with a fundamental graph $\Gamma_* = (V_*, \mathcal{E}_*)$ such that $d = \beta$, where $\beta = \#\mathcal{E}_* - \#V_* + 1$ is the Betti number and $\#A$ is the number of elements of the set A. In literature such a periodic graph Γ is called the *maximal abelian covering graph* of the finite graph $\Gamma_* = (V_*, \mathcal{E}_*)$. Examples of such graphs include the d-dimensional lattice, the hexagonal lattice.

Example. As an example of the maximal abelian covering graph we consider a periodic graph, shown in Fig.3*a*, and describe the spectrum of the magnetic Laplace and Schrödinger operators.

It is known that λ_* is an eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator H_0 iff λ_* is an eigenvalue of $H_0(\vartheta)$ for any $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ (see Proposition 4.2 in [HN09]). Thus, we can define the *multiplicity* of a flat band in the following way: a flat band λ_* of H_0 has multiplicity m iff $\lambda_* = \text{const}$ is an eigenvalue of $H_0(\vartheta)$ of multiplicity m for almost all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$.



FIGURE 3. *a*) $\mathbb{Z}^2$-periodic graph Γ, the vectors a_1, a_2 produce an action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$; *b*) the fundamental graph Γ_*; *c*) the spectrum of the Laplacian ($\nu = 3$).

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathbb{L}^d_*$ be the fundamental graph of the d-dimension lattice $\mathbb{L}^d$ and let v_ν be the unique vertex of $\mathbb{L}^d_*$. Let Γ_* be obtained from $\mathbb{L}^d_*$ by adding $\nu - 1 \geqslant 1$ vertices $v_1, \dots, v_{\nu-1}$ and $\nu - 1$ unoriented edges $(v_1, v_\nu), \dots, (v_{\nu-1}, v_\nu)$ (see Fig.3b). Let $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ be a given 1-form. Then Γ is a maximal abelian covering graph of Γ_* and satisfies*

i) The spectrum of the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α on the periodic graph Γ has the form

$$\sigma(\Delta_\alpha) = \sigma(\Delta_0) = \sigma_{ac}(\Delta) \cup \sigma_{fb}(\Delta), \qquad \sigma_{fb}(\Delta) = \sigma_2(\Delta) = \{1\}, \tag{5.5}$$

where the flat band $\sigma_2(\Delta) = \{1\}$ has multiplicity $\nu - 2$ and $\sigma_{ac}(\Delta)$ has only two bands $\sigma_1(\Delta)$ and $\sigma_3(\Delta)$ given by

$$\begin{gathered} \sigma_{ac}(\Delta) = \sigma_1(\Delta) \cup \sigma_3(\Delta), \\ \sigma_1(\Delta) = \big[\, 0, x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4d}\,\big], \quad \sigma_3(\Delta) = \big[\, \nu, x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4d}\,\big], \qquad x = \tfrac{\nu+4d}{2}\,. \end{gathered} \tag{5.6}$$

ii) The spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ on Γ has the form

$$\sigma(H_\alpha) = \sigma(H_0) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\nu} \big[\lambda_n(0), \lambda_n(\vartheta_\pi)\big], \qquad \vartheta_\pi = (\pi, \dots, \pi) \in \mathbb{T}^d. \tag{5.7}$$

iii) Let $q_\nu = Q(v_\nu) = 0$ and let all other values of the potential $q_1 = Q(v_1), \dots, q_{\nu-1} = Q(v_{\nu-1})$ at the vertices of the fundamental graph Γ_* be distinct. Then $\sigma(H_0) = \sigma_{ac}(H_0)$, i.e., $\sigma_{fb}(H_0) = \varnothing$.

iv) Let among the numbers $q_1, \dots, q_{\nu-1}$ there exist a value q_* of multiplicity m. Then the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator H_0 on Γ has the flat band $q_* + 1$ of multiplicity $m - 1$.

v) The Lebesgue measure of the spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operators H_α on Γ satisfies

$$|\sigma(H_\alpha)| = 4d \tag{5.8}$$

and the estimates (2.15) and the first estimate in (2.16) become identities.

Proof. The fundamental graph Γ_* consists of ν vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\nu$; $\nu - 1$ unoriented edges $(v_1, v_\nu), \dots, (v_{\nu-1}, v_\nu)$ and d unoriented loops in the vertex v_ν. Since

$$\beta = \#\mathcal{E}_* - \#V_* + 1 = (\nu - 1 + d) - \nu + 1 = d, \tag{5.9}$$

the graph Γ is a maximal abelian covering graph of Γ_* and, due to Corollary 2.2, $\sigma(H_\alpha) = \sigma(H_0)$.

Items i) – v) for the case $\alpha = 0$ were proved in [KS14] (Proposition 7.2). Combining (5.8) and (5.9) we obtain $|\sigma(H_\alpha)| = 4\beta$, i.e., the estimates (2.15) become identities. ∎

5.3. **Well-known properties of matrices.** We recall some well-known properties of matrices (see e.g., [HJ85]). Denote by $\lambda_1(A) \leqslant \dots \leqslant \lambda_\nu(A)$ the eigenvalues of a self-adjoint $\nu \times \nu$ matrix A, arranged in increasing order, counting multiplicities.

Proposition 5.4. *i) Let A, B be self-adjoint $\nu \times \nu$ matrices and let $B \geqslant 0$. Then the eigenvalues $\lambda_n(A) \leqslant \lambda_n(A + B)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$ (see Corollary 4.3.3 in* [HJ85]*).*

ii) Let A, B be self-adjoint $\nu \times \nu$ matrices. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_\nu$ we have

$$\lambda_n(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leqslant \lambda_n(A + B) \leqslant \lambda_n(A) + \lambda_\nu(B)$$

(see Theorem 4.3.1 in [HJ85]*).*

iii) Let $V = \{V_{jk}\}$ be a self-adjoint $\nu \times \nu$ matrix, for some $\nu < \infty$ and let $B = \operatorname{diag}\{B_1, \dots, B_\nu\}$, $B_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} |V_{jk}|$. Then the following estimates hold true:

$$-B \leqslant V \leqslant B \tag{5.10}$$

(see [K13]*).*

6. Generalized magnetic Schrödinger operators

6.1. **Generalized magnetic Laplacians on periodic graphs.** In this section we deal with a more general class of magnetic Laplacians. These generalized magnetic Laplacians on finite and infinite graphs are considered in [CTT11], [HS99a], [HS99b], [HS01], [LLPP15], [S94]. We define two positive weights on Γ

$$m_V : V \to (0, \infty), \qquad m_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to (0, \infty) \tag{6.1}$$

such that

$$m_V(v + \mathrm{m}) = m_V(v), \qquad m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e} + \mathrm{m}) = m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e}) = m_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{\mathbf{e}}) \tag{6.2}$$

for all $(v, \mathbf{e}, \mathrm{m}) \in V \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$. We consider the weighted Hilbert space

$$\ell^2(V, m_V) = \Big\{ f : V \to \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{v \in V} m_V(v) |f(v)|^2 < \infty \Big\}, \tag{6.3}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle_V = \sum_{v\in V} m_V(v) f(v)\overline{g(v)}. \tag{6.4}$$

For each 1-form $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ we define the *discrete magnetic Laplace operator* Δ_α on $f \in \ell^2(V, m_V)$ by

$$\big(\Delta_\alpha f\big)(v) = \frac{1}{m_V(v)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e})\big(f(v) - e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})} f(u)\big), \qquad v \in V. \tag{6.5}$$

Remark. If $\alpha = 0$, then Δ_0 is just the discrete Laplacian Δ:

$$\big(\Delta f\big)(v) = \frac{1}{m_V(v)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e})\big(f(v) - f(u)\big), \qquad v \in V. \tag{6.6}$$

It is well known (see [HS99a], [HS99b], [HS01]) that *the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α is a bounded self-adjoint operator on $\ell^2(V, m_V)$ and its spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_\alpha)$ is a closed subset in $[0, 2\varkappa_+]$, where $\varkappa_+$ is defined by*

$$\varkappa_+ = \sup_{v\in V} \frac{1}{m_V(v)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e}). \tag{6.7}$$

Here the sum is taken over all oriented edges starting at the vertex v.

We present typical magnetic Laplacians:

1) **The magnetic combinatorial Laplacian.** If we set $m_V(v) = 1$ for each vertex $v \in V$ and $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e}) = 1$ for each edge $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}$, then the *magnetic combinatorial Laplacian* is expressed by (1.4) and is discussed in Sections 1–4. This magnetic Laplacian and corresponding Schrödinger operators are considered in [B13], [DM06], [LL93].

2) **The magnetic transition operator.** Let $p : \mathcal{A} \to (0, 1]$ be a transition probability such that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{e}) = 1, \qquad \forall\, v \in V. \tag{6.8}$$

Moreover, let p be m_V-symmetric, that is $m_V(v)p(\mathbf{e}) = m_V(u)p(\underline{\mathbf{e}})$ for each oriented edge $\mathbf{e} = (v, u)$. If we set $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e}) = m_V(v)p(\mathbf{e})$, then the magnetic Laplace operator is expressed by

$$\Delta_\alpha = \mathbb{1} - T_{p,\alpha}, \qquad \big(T_{p,\alpha} f\big)(v) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{e})\, e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})} f(u), \tag{6.9}$$

where $T_{p,\alpha}$ is the *magnetic transition operator with respect to p* and $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity operator. The magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha = \mathbb{1} - T_{p,\alpha}$ is considered in [HS99a], [HS99b], [HS01].

3) **The magnetic normalized Laplacian.** This Laplacian is obtained from (6.9) if we set $p(\mathbf{e}) = \frac{1}{\varkappa_v}$ for each $\mathbf{e} = (v, u) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $m_V(v) = \varkappa_v$, $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{e}) = 1$ and the *magnetic normalized Laplacian* is expressed as follows:

$$\big(\Delta_\alpha f\big)(v) = f(v) - \frac{1}{\varkappa_v} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})} f(u). \tag{6.10}$$

6.2. **Main results for generalized Schrödinger operators.** In this subsection we generalize the results formulated in Section 2 (Theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 2.5) for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ with the Laplacian Δ_α defined by (6.5).

We introduce the Hilbert space

$$\mathscr{H} = L^2\Big(\mathbb{T}^d, \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d}, \mathcal{H}\Big) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}\, \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \ell^2(V_*, m_{V_*}), \tag{6.11}$$

i.e., a constant fiber direct integral equipped with the norm

$$\|g\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \|g(\vartheta,\cdot)\|^2_{V_*} \frac{d\vartheta}{(2\pi)^d},$$

where the function $g(\vartheta,\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$ for almost all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$.

Theorem 6.1. *For each 1-form $\alpha \in \mathscr{F}_1$ the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ with the Laplacian Δ_α defined by (6.5) on $\ell^2(V, m_V)$ has the following decomposition into a constant fiber direct integral*

$$\ell^2(V, m_V) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} \ell^2(V_*, m_{V_*})\, d\vartheta, \qquad \mathscr{U} H_\alpha \mathscr{U}^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}^{\oplus} H_\alpha(\vartheta) d\vartheta, \tag{6.12}$$

for some unitary operator $\mathscr{U} : \ell^2(V, m_V) \to \mathscr{H}$. Here the fiber magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha(\vartheta)$ and the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ are given by

$$H_\alpha(\vartheta) = \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) + Q, \qquad \forall\, \vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d, \tag{6.13}$$

$$\big(\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(v) = \frac{1}{m_{V_*}(v)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,\,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e}) \big(f(v) - e^{i(\alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta\rangle)} f(u)\big), \qquad v \in V_*, \tag{6.14}$$

where the modified 1-form $\alpha_* \in \mathscr{F}_1$ is defined by (2.5), $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ is the index of the edge $\mathbf{e}$ defined by (1.10), (1.12), and $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 6.2. *The Lebesgue measure $|\sigma(H_\alpha)|$ of the spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha + Q$ with the Laplacian Δ_α defined by (6.5) satisfies*

$$|\sigma(H_\alpha)| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} |\sigma_n(H_\alpha)| \leqslant 2\widehat{\beta}, \tag{6.15}$$

where

$$\widehat{\beta} = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})}{\big(m_{V_*}(u) m_{V_*}(v)\big)^{1/2}}, \tag{6.16}$$

ν is the number of the fundamental graph vertices, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ are defined by (1.16).

Theorem 6.3. *Let a band function $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, of the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α defined by (6.5) have a minimum (maximum) at some point ϑ_0 and let $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ be a simple eigenvalue of $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$. Then the effective form $\mu_\alpha(\omega)$ from (2.22) satisfies*

$$\big|\mu_\alpha(\omega)\big| \leqslant \frac{T_1^2}{\rho_\alpha} + T_2 \qquad \forall\, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \tag{6.17}$$

$$\textit{where} \qquad T_s = \frac{1}{s} \max_{u\in V_*} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{S}\cup\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\, \|\tau(\mathbf{e})\|^s}{\big(m_{V_*}(u) m_{V_*}(v)\big)^{1/2}}, \quad s = 1, 2, \tag{6.18}$$

where $\rho_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ is the distance between $\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)$ and the set $\sigma\big(\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\big) \setminus \big\{\lambda_\alpha(\vartheta_0)\big\}$, $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ is the index of the edge $\mathbf{e}$ defined by (1.10), (1.12); $\mathcal{S}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ are defined by (1.16).

The proof of these results are similar to the proof of Theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 2.5.

6.3. **Factorization of fiber magnetic Laplacians.** We introduce the Hilbert space

$$\ell^2(\mathcal{A}_*, m_{\mathcal{A}_*}) = \{\phi : \mathcal{A}_* \to \mathbb{C} \mid \phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}}) = -\phi(\mathbf{e}) \text{ for } \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_* \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}_*} < \infty\}, \tag{6.19}$$

where the inner product is given by

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}_*} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e}) \phi_1(\mathbf{e}) \overline{\phi_2(\mathbf{e})}. \tag{6.20}$$

For each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we define the operator $\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) : \ell^2(V_*, m_{V_*}) \to \ell^2(\mathcal{A}_*, m_{\mathcal{A}_*})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(\mathbf{e}) = e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(u), \qquad \forall\, f \in \ell^2(V_*, m_{V_*}), \\ &\text{where} \quad \mathbf{e} = (v,u), \qquad \Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta) = \alpha_*(\mathbf{e}) + \langle \tau(\mathbf{e}), \vartheta \rangle; \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

the modified 1-form $\alpha_* \in \mathscr{F}_1$ is given by (2.5), $\tau(\mathbf{e})$ is the index of the edge $\mathbf{e}$ defined by (1.10), (1.12).

Theorem 6.4. *i) For each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ the conjugate operator $\nabla_\alpha^*(\vartheta) : \ell^2(\mathcal{A}_*, m_{\mathcal{A}_*}) \to \ell^2(V_*, m_{V_*})$ has the form*

$$(\nabla_\alpha^*(\vartheta)\phi)(v) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})}{m_{V_*}(v)}\, e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} \phi(\mathbf{e}), \qquad \forall\, \phi \in \ell^2(\mathcal{A}_*, m_{\mathcal{A}_*}). \tag{6.22}$$

ii) For each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ defined by (6.14) satisfies

$$\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) = \nabla_\alpha^*(\vartheta)\, \nabla_\alpha(\vartheta). \tag{6.23}$$

iii) If some $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ satisfies

$$\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta) = 0, \qquad \forall\, \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{S}, \tag{6.24}$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is defined in (1.16), then the rank of the operator $\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta)$ is equal to $\nu - 1$. Otherwise, the rank of the operator $\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta)$ is equal to ν, where ν is the number of the fundamental graph vertices.

iv) For each $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ the quadratic form $\langle \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) f, f \rangle_{V_*}$ associated with the fiber magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta)$ is given by

$$\langle \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) f, f \rangle_{V_*} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e}) \left| f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} f(u) \right|^2. \tag{6.25}$$

Proof. Let $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $f \in \ell^2(V_*, m_{V_*})$, $\phi \in \ell^2(\mathcal{A}_*, m_{\mathcal{A}_*})$. Using (1.3) and (1.13) we have

$$\Phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}}, \vartheta) = -\Phi(\mathbf{e}, \vartheta), \qquad \forall (\mathbf{e}, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{A}_* \times \mathbb{T}^d. \tag{6.26}$$

i) Due to (6.20), (6.21), (6.26), we have

$$\langle \nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{A}_*} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\big(\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(\mathbf{e})\overline{\phi(\mathbf{e})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\big(e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(u)\big)\overline{\phi(\mathbf{e})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\, e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v)\overline{\phi(\mathbf{e})} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\, e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(u)\overline{\phi(\mathbf{e})} \tag{6.27}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\, e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v)\overline{\phi(\mathbf{e})} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\underline{\mathbf{e}}=(u,v)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\underline{\mathbf{e}})\, e^{-i\,\Phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}},\vartheta)/2} f(u)\overline{\phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}})}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\, e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v)\overline{\phi(\mathbf{e})}.$$

On the other hand, due to (6.4), (6.22), we obtain

$$\langle f, \nabla^*_\alpha(\vartheta)\phi\rangle_{V_*} = \sum_{v\in V_*} m_{V_*}(v) f(v)\overline{(\nabla^*_\alpha(\vartheta)\phi)(v)}$$

$$= \sum_{v\in V_*} m_{V_*}(v) f(v) \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})}{m_{V_*}(v)}\, e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2}\,\overline{\phi}(\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\, e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v)\overline{\phi(\mathbf{e})}. \tag{6.28}$$

Comparing (6.27) and (6.28), we get the required statement.

ii) Using (6.21), (6.22), we obtain

$$\big(\nabla^*_\alpha(\vartheta)\,\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(v) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})}{m_{V_*}(v)}\, e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2}\big(\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(\mathbf{e})$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})}{m_{V_*}(v)}\, e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2}\big(e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(u)\big) \tag{6.29}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})}{m_{V_*}(v)}\,\big(f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} f(u)\big) = \big(\Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(v), \qquad \forall v\in V_*.$$

iii) We omit the proof, since it is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.4.ii in [KS16].

iv) From (6.23), (6.20), (6.21) it follows that

$$\langle \Delta_\alpha(\vartheta) f, f\rangle_{V_*} = \langle \nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f, \nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f\rangle_{\mathcal{A}_*} = \|\,\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f\|^2_{\mathcal{A}_*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\,\big|\big(\nabla_\alpha(\vartheta) f\big)(\mathbf{e})\big|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\,\big|e^{-i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)/2} f(u)\big|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}_*} m_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbf{e})\,\big|f(v) - e^{i\,\Phi(\mathbf{e},\vartheta)} f(u)\big|^2. \tag{6.30}$$

■

Remarks. 1) The magnetic Laplacian Δ_α defined by (6.5) has the following factorization (see [HS99a], [HS99b], [HS01]):

$$\Delta_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha^* \nabla_\alpha, \tag{6.31}$$

where the operator $\nabla_\alpha : \ell^2(V, m_V) \to \ell^2(\mathcal{A}, m_\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$(\nabla_\alpha f)(\mathbf{e}) = e^{-i\alpha(\mathbf{e})/2} f(v) - e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})/2} f(u), \qquad \forall\, f \in \ell^2(V, m_V), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e} = (v, u). \tag{6.32}$$

The conjugate operator $\nabla_\alpha^* : \ell^2(\mathcal{A}, m_\mathcal{A}) \to \ell^2(V, m_V)$ has the form

$$(\nabla_\alpha^*\, \phi)(v) = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} \frac{m_\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{e})}{m_V(v)}\, e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})/2} \phi(\mathbf{e}), \qquad \forall\, \phi \in \ell^2(\mathcal{A}, m_\mathcal{A}). \tag{6.33}$$

The quadratic form $\langle \Delta_\alpha f, f\rangle_V$ associated with the magnetic Laplacian Δ_α is given by

$$\langle \Delta_\alpha f, f\rangle_V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(v,u)\in\mathcal{A}} m_\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{e}) \left| f(v) - e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{e})} f(u)\right|^2. \tag{6.34}$$

2) The quasimomentum ϑ satisfying (6.24) may or may not exist. For example, if $\#\mathcal{S} = d$, then such $\vartheta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ exists and is unique.

Acknowledgments. Evgeny Korotyaev was supported by the RSF grant No. 15-11-30007. Natalia Saburova was supported by the RFFI grant No. 16-01-00087. Finally, we would like to thank referees for thoughtful comments that helped us to improve the manuscript.

References

[A76] Ashcroft, N.W.; Mermin, N.D. Solid State Physics, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1976.

[AJ09] Avila, A.; Jitomirskaya, S. The ten martini problem, Ann. Math., 170 (2009), 303–342.

[Az64] Azbel, M.Ya. Energy spectrum of a conduction electron in a magnetic field, Sov. Phys. JETP, 19 (1964) 634–645.

[B13] Berkolaiko, G. Nodal count of graph eigenfunctions via magnetic perturbation, Anal. PDE. 6 (2013), 1213–1233.

[Be92] Bellissard, J. Gap labelling theorems for Schrödinger operators, in "From Number Theory to Physics" edited by M. Waldschmidt et al, Springer, 1992, 538–630.

[Be94] Bellissard, J. Lipshitz continuity of gap boundaries for Hofstadter-like spectra, Comm. Math. Phys., 160 (1994), 599–613.

[BS82] Bellissard, J.; Simon, B. Cantor spectrum for the almost Mathieu equation, J. Funct. Anal., 48 (1982), no. 3, 408–419.

[B74] Biggs, N. Algebraic graph theory, Cambridge University Press, 1974.

[CEY90] Choi, M.-D.; Elliott, G.A.; Yui, N. Gauss polynomials and the rotation algebra, Invent. Math., 99 (1990), no. 2, 225–246.

[CTT11] Colin de Verdière, Y.; Torki-Hamza, N.; Truc, F. Essential self-adjointness for combinatorial Schrödinger operators III – Magnetic fields, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math., 20 (2011), no. 3, 599–611.

[DM06] Dodziuk, J.; Mathai, V. Kato's inequality and asymptotic spectral properties for discrete magnetic Laplacians, The ubiquitous heat kernel, Contemp. Math., 398 (2006), 69–82.

[H55] Harper, P.G. Single band motion of a conduction electron in a uniform magnetic field, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, A68 (1955), 874–878.

[HS88] Helffer, B.; Sjöstrand, J. Analyse semi-classique pour l'équation de Harper (avec application à l'équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique), Mém. Soc. Math. France, 34 (1988), 1–113.

[HS89] Helffer, B.; Sjöstrand, J. Semi-classical analysis for Harper's equation. III. Cantor structure of the spectrum, Mém. Soc. Math. France, 39 (1989), 1–124.

[HS90] Helffer, B.; Sjöstrand, J. Analyse semi-classique pour l'équation de Harper. II. Comportement semi-classique prés dun rationnel, Mém. Soc. Math. France, 40 (1990), 1–139.

[HS99a] Higuchi, Y.; Shirai, T. The spectrum of magnetic Schrödinger operators on a graph with periodic structure, Journal of Functional Analysis, 169 (1999), 456–480.
[HS99b] Higuchi, Y.; Shirai, T. A remark on the spectrum of magnetic Laplacian on a graph, the proceedings of TGT10, Yokohama Math. J., 47 (1999), Special issue, 129–142.
[HS01] Higuchi, Y.; Shirai, T. Weak Bloch property for discrete magnetic Schrödinger operators, Nagoya Math J., 161 (2001), 127–154.
[HN09] Higuchi, Y.; Nomura, Y. Spectral structure of the Laplacian on a covering graph. European J. Combin., 30 (2009), no. 2, 570–585.
[Ho76] Hofstadter, D. Energy levels and wave functions of Bloch electrons in rational and irrational magnetic fields, Phys. Rev., 14 (1976), no. 6, 2239–2249.
[HJ85] Horn, R.; Johnson, C. Matrix analysis, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
[Hou09] Hou, J.-M. Light-induced Hofstadter's butterfly spectrum of ultracold atoms on the two-dimensional kagome lattice, CHN Phys. Lett., 26 (2009), no. 12, 123701.
[Ke92] Kerdelhué, P., Équation de Schrödinger magnétique périodique avec symétrie d'ordre six, Mémoire de la SMF, 51 (1992), 139 pp.
[KeR14] Kerdelhué, P.; Royo-Letelier, J. On the low lying spectrum of the magnetic Schrödinger operator with kagome periodicity, Rev. Math. Phys., 26 (2014), no.10, 1450020.
[Ki95] Kittel, C. Introduction to solid state physics, New York: Wiley, 1995.
[K13] Korotyaev, E. Stability estimates and inverse problems for block Jacobi matrices, preprint 2013.
[KS14] Korotyaev, E.; Saburova, N. Schrödinger operators on periodic discrete graphs, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 420.1 (2014), 576–611.
[KS15] Korotyaev, E.; Saburova, N. Scattering on periodic metric graphs, preprint arXiv:1507.06441.
[KS16] Korotyaev, E.; Saburova, N. Effective masses for Laplacians on periodic graphs, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 436.1 (2016), 104–130.
[Ku15] Kutsenko, A. Sharp spectral estimates for periodic matrix-valued Jacobi operators, Mathematical technology of networks, 133136, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 128, Springer, Cham, 2015.
[LLPP15] Lange, C; Liu, S; Peyerimhoff, N.; Post, O. Frustration index and Cheeger inequalities for discrete and continuous magnetic Laplacians, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 54 (2015), no 4, 4165–4196.
[LL93] Lieb, E.; Loss, M. Fluxes, Laplacians, and Kasteleyn's theorem, Duke Math. J., 71 (1993), no 2, 337–363.
[RS78] Reed, M.; Simon, B. Methods of modern mathematical physics, vol.IV. Analysis of operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
[S04] Shterenberg, R. An example of a periodic magnetic Schrödinger in operator with degenerate lower edge of the spectrum, St. Petersburg Math. J., 16 (2005), no. 2, 417-422.
[S06] Shterenberg, R. On the structure of the lower edge of the spectrum of the periodic magnetic Schrödinger operator with small magnetic potential, St. Petersburg Math. J., 17.5 (2006), 865–873.
[S94] Sunada, T. A discrete analogue of periodic magnetic Schrödinger operators, Contemporary Mathematics, 173 (1994), 283–299.
[S13] Sunada, T. Topological crystallography, Springer, 2013.
[SS92] Sy, P.W.; Sunada, T. Discrete Schrödinger operator on a graph, Nagoya Math. J., 125 (1992), 141–150.

Saint-Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya nab. 7/9, St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia, korotyaev@gmail.com, e.korotyaev@spbu.ru,

Northern (Arctic) Federal University, Severnaya Dvina Emb. 17, Arkhangelsk, 163002, Russia, n.saburova@gmail.com, n.saburova@narfu.ru